Wednesday, November 9, 2011, 2 p.m., MBSC Chancellors Room

Presentation: CFAM Dean Gail Baker, “The Strategic Marketing Plan for UNO


Excused: Harden, Hendricks, Hewins-Maroney, Lomneth, Tiller

Absent: Adcock, Decker, Morris, Winter

I. The meeting was called to order by President Rech at 2:15 p.m.

II. The October 5, 2011, Minutes were approved as written.

III. Officers’ Reports

A. President’s Report: Senator Rech reported

1. President’s Meeting w/Chancellor was held October 18, 2011. The following was discussed:
   a. Evaluation of Deans: Chancellor Christensen will be informing B.J. Reed of the Senate’s concerns. He will be the one who will be able to talk about the evaluations of the deans and any questions that may arise.
   b. President Rech received a copy of the Strategic Marketing Plan for UNO: A University of New Opportunities and will be sharing it at the EC&C meeting.
   c. President Rech asked about the Senate’s role in the prioritization process. He indicated that the colleges will be producing lists of priorities, and then a Faculty Senate representative will work with the Deans and finance folks to come up with a list of recommendations for the Chancellor.
   d. We discussed the enrollment management plans and he informed me that Karen Hayes is on a current working group that will be working with a consultant to help UNO develop an enrollment management plan.
   e. The Chancellor also discussed ongoing plans to develop marketing plans in Iowa.

2. Executive Committee and Administration (EC&A) met October 19, 2011.
   a. Resolutions Passed at the October 12, 2011, Faculty Senate Meeting were quickly reviewed.
   b. Other
      1. Faculty Senate Topics
         i. Error at BoR Mtg (Text Books Counted Twice, once each semester): Acting Director for Institutional Research Russ Smith has
corrected the old error. After October 2011, the new data will be correct.

**ii. Annual Dean’s Review:** Interim SVC Reed discussed the two processes that his office will use to review the deans.
- The first is mandated by college constitutions. College faculty do their own review of their dean’s performance. This process is done every 3-5 years. The process is not covered in any college constitution or BoR Bylaws. The CFAM Dean is being reviewed by her faculty now. The CBA Dean was evaluated by his faculty 18 months ago.
- The second process is review of deans by Academic Affairs. That office will be following a process outlined by Faculty Senate Resolutions 1538 and amended Resolution 2259. These reviews will be done annually. These will be yearly 360 review of deans by faculty, staff and peers in the community. A survey will be done with the annual faculty review process - in Spring.
- The IS&T Dean was just evaluated by his faculty. There were some concerns with the process. The Survey Instrument was online then changed to paper. Ilza Zigurs, chair of advisory committee, brought concerns by some faculty to SVC A&SA. Those surveys went to Russ Smith to tabulate and sanitize, so no individual faculty member could be identified. Summary results went back to committee to be written up, gone over by committee and dean. The results were then sent to Interim SVC Reed and the IS&T Dean.

**iii. Dean Gail Baker’s Report on Strategic Communications** has been given to F.S. President Rech. The message should be a single brand, consistent messages, and a larger media presence. There are major recommendations and sub recommendations. This report will help Chancellor Christensen lobby President Milliken for additional dollars.

**iv. Senate involvement in setting of priorities for UNO** was another point of discussion. The Chancellor noted that all units have been working on unit priorities. They will then come together and organize a task force to talk about the 4-6 campus priorities that seem to make sense. VC Conley, Deans Forum, and the entire campus will have input. It will be finished this Spring to be done. Then the report will be sent to President Milliken.

Interim SVC Reed said both Business and Finance and Academic and Student Affairs have five primary priorities. They are sustainability (research and industrial focus), global engagement, STEM, Early Childhood, and Research & Creative Activity. These will focus on the metropolitan university. They will be looking at these issues across colleges. There will be task groups established for each of these 5 areas. There will be a request for volunteers who will then develop a white paper/concept paper on how each would fit in a metropolitan campus mission. Each college and other units will be asked to develop their own priorities - they could be related to these 5 or be different, but would still fit with the campus and colleges. Hopefully this can be done by December. Then it will all be sent to the Chancellor. The Senate was asked to pass along names of anyone who has any expertise on these 5 areas.
VC Conley noted that, since Business & Finance is a support organization, their five priorities are Customer Service, People (HR: retain, retrain, benefits, etc.), Facilities Area (sustainability=safe, secure, capacity), Finances, and Systems (Business) and Practices.

The Chancellor noted that the Board of Regents is interested that we are taking on priorities, and Enrollment Management is critical.

v. Enrollment Management Plan: AVC SA Thomas Wallace noted that, before he arrived, there was no plan for enrollment management. A plan was developed on his arrival, during and after summer of 2010. This is a university community effort. There is a nine member executive committee working on the plan (John Bartle, Katie Sup Rezac, Julie Totten, John Fiene, Liz O’Connor, Karen Hayes, Josh White, Russ Smith, and Pelema Morrice). This includes faculty, staff, and students.

A consultant, from Noel Levitz, a leading expert in the field, will be here on November 4, 2011, to talk about our efforts. This is a process and the campus needs to be and will be involved in this process.

The Chancellor said President Milliken is building a reserve fund. He feels that as soon as that is built up, all of the tuition will come back to the campus that generates it - possibly next year or the following year.

Senator Winter has been told the College of IS&T enrollment is projected to increase 50% more students in ten years. Where did this figure come from. The Chancellor answered that the increase to 20,000 students at UNO by 2020, is based on strategic framework for the Board of Regents. High School Dual enrollment students and distance education are key to moving toward this goal. 50% of our dual enrollment students end up matriculating at UNO. Another area can be found in Corporate Omaha, which spends enormous amounts of money recruiting all over the country, and then some on professional development in the organization. Can UNO provide that? AVC Wallace is looking for all types of students, traditional, transfer, individuals returning to college, international students, etc.

2. Chancellor’s Topics
   i. The Chancellor asked the group to give some thought to how to leverage support for Iowa recruiting for Fall 2012 enrollment. What else might we do? Suggestions should be sent to the Chancellor. PBS sports coverage suggested.
   ii. AVC Wallace is working with Iowa Western in terms of articulation. 50% of Iowa Western students transfer to other colleges/universities. I.W. Academic Advisors tell their students that UNO is toughest place to transfer to. UNO could possibly have Admission Ambassadors to work with possible student wishing to transfer into UNO. There is a need for more data as to what is needed to help.
   iii. A potential project for the Ford Foundation is to try to get Omaha to become one of the pilot sites for multi-state metropolitan areas, like economic zones. It would be one of 44 in the country.

3. Round Table
   i. Interim SVC Reed noted that one area he would like to focus on is to elevate the focus on international research for faculty. We need to
celebrate, support, and incent research on an international level. November 14 is start of international week. There is a tentative idea that UNO will have some sort of celebration event for faculty who have gotten Fullbrights, or who have done research activities on an international basis. Also, he is working with AVC Smith-Howell and AVC Snyder, to create, one time initially and a couple years down the road, a kind of an incentive travel grant program pilot program for faculty who want to do research with an international partner - either to work here or there.

ii. Interim SVC Reed also noted that Russ Smith is working on getting data on the diversity of our faculty. He is looking at what the trend lines are, how we look relative to our peers, both in underrepresented faculty, and, particularly, American faculty. This is to get a sense of what’s going on. Both hires and pools are being looked at.

iii. The Chancellor explained a statement he made at Convocation. He would like to establish a circular relationship between our efforts to grow and our abilities to handle growth with our tuition model and academic priorities. Distance Ed already has that balance. We will have to incentivize disciplines or programs that have a great capacity to grow. We need to reinvest in ourselves.

3. Chancellors Council: October 27, 2011 meeting was cancelled.

   a. Presentation by Pres. Milliken & David Lechner on Employee Benefits
      • Majority of peer institutions for the NU system have Employee-Plus One option
      • Total cost estimated at 3/4 - 1.5 million
      • Need to have option in order to remain competitive in attracting top candidates to NU
   b. BJ Reed presented on Career Preparation and Job Placement (indicated need of UNO to have comprehensive plan to contact employers regarding satisfaction with UNO graduates)
   c. John Amend presented a plan for UNO to utilize renewable resources.


5. Written Acknowledgements for Resolutions: On 10/13/11, Nancy Castilow, Asst. to the Chancellor, sent the following e-mail:

   *The chancellor accepts Res 4010 and 4015-16 for the purpose of information.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Res.#</th>
<th>Date Senate Passed</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Admin Accept</th>
<th>Sent for Senate Action</th>
<th>Denied</th>
<th>Deferred</th>
<th>In Progress</th>
<th>Final Action/Resolved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4016</td>
<td>10/12/11</td>
<td>Faculty Grievance Committee</td>
<td>10/13/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledged for purpose of information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4015</td>
<td>10/12/11</td>
<td>University Council on Distance Education</td>
<td>10/13/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledged for purpose of information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Freedom &amp; Tenure Committee</td>
<td>Academic Freedom &amp; Tenure Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faculty Senate Agenda</strong></td>
<td><strong>– 12/14/2011 - Page 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4010</th>
<th>9/14/11 &amp; 10/12</th>
<th>Academic Freedom &amp; Tenure Committee</th>
<th>9/20/11 &amp; 10/13/11</th>
<th>Acknowledged for purpose of information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CARRIED FORWARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3079</th>
<th>3/9/11</th>
<th>Shared Governance</th>
<th>4/15/11</th>
<th>Not supported. Chancellor’s Comment: “While I continue to be an advocate for shared governance, I will not support 3079, as I am unable to support any resolution which defines the role and responsibilities of the University’s governing board, the office of the chancellor, or other administrator, which is outside the purview of the Faculty Senate.”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3073</td>
<td>1/12/11</td>
<td>Employee Plus One Benefits</td>
<td>1/25/11 - Senate sent to Pres Milliken, D. Burns, and Board of Regents</td>
<td>1/24/11 - This is a university issue, not a campus issue. The senate can choose to forward to Varner Hall for further action or consideration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3033</td>
<td>5/12/10</td>
<td>Instruction on the Ethical Use of Print and Online Sources in Academic Papers</td>
<td>5/27/10</td>
<td><em>Chancellor acknowledges that the subject matter is primarily an Academic Affairs issue and has asked Vice Chancellor Hynes to respond as appropriate.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3013</td>
<td>3/10/10</td>
<td>Recommended Plagiarism Policy</td>
<td>3/18/10 &amp; 4/21/10</td>
<td><em>Returned to Faculty Senate for additional language consideration as discussed at the 3/17 meeting with administration.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2944</td>
<td>1/4/09</td>
<td>UCRCA Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Relative to the minor language changes on 3013 (Plagiarism), the chancellor indicates that he would like Academic Affairs to share the policy with the academic deans at an upcoming meeting.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*3079 (From Chancellor Christensen via Nancy Castilow in an e-mail sent on 4/15/11): Not supported. Chancellor’s Comment: “While I continue to be an advocate for shared governance, I will not support 3079, as I am unable to support any resolution which defines the role and responsibilities of the University’s governing board, the office of the chancellor, or other administrator, which is outside the purview of the Faculty Senate.”*

*3073 (From Chancellor Christensen via Nancy Castilow in an e-mail sent on 1/24/11): This is a university issue, not a campus issue.*
The senate can choose to forward to Varner Hall for further action or consideration.

*3033 (From Chancellor Christensen via Nancy Castilow in an e-mail sent on 5/27/10: “While he is supportive of Res. 3033, he acknowledges that the subject matter is primarily an Academic Affairs issue and has asked Vice Chancellor Hynes to respond as appropriate.”)

*3013 (From Chancellor Christensen via Nancy Castilow in an e-mail sent on 3/11/10) “The chancellor said the first resolution” (3013) “needs to be considered by Terry” (Hynes) . . .

(From Chancellor Christensen via Nancy Castilow in an e-mail sent on 4/21/10: Relative to the minor language changes on 3013 (Plagiarism), the chancellor indicates that he would like Academic Affairs to share the policy with the academic deans at an upcoming meeting.)

*2944 (From the EC & Admin Mtg 1/21/09: The Chancellor supports the concept in Resolution 2944 on funding an UCRCA budget line but opined we wouldn’t get it during the years of budgetary problems.)

6/10/09 Nancy Castilow wrote for Chanc. Christensen: “One clarification related to Res. 2944, dealing with ongoing support of the UCRCA budget. I said that I was open to the concept and supportive of continued funding of the UCRCA budget, albeit we would need to review its funding during times of budget constraints, as we do with other such programs. The decision to fund and how much to fund remains the purview of the Office of Academic Affairs. I did not opine that the UCRCA budget line would automatically see no funding during years of budgetary problems.”


1. Budget Advisory Committee (Prof. Corcoran, Ebdon, Kriz) met for the first time on November 4, 2011. Senator Kriz wrote: We discussed the role of the committee and reviewed the Resolution on Budget Considerations that was passed by the Senate in AY 2009-10 (Resolution 3020, 4/14/10) and reiterated in AYP 2010-100. We are going to be meeting with Julie Totten next week to review the current budget situation and the impacts of enrollment changes on the revenue model. Additionally, we are scheduling a meeting with Chris Kabourek early in December to review the budget planning done as part of the Strategic Framework process.

IV. Standing Committee Reports

A. Committee on Academic and Curricular Affairs: Senator Petrow reported the committee met October 26, 2011. Dale Tiller was elected as our new co-chair. Our priorities include three points. First, we want to find out what happened to the faculty award pictures that were displayed in the MBSC. Second, we want to learn whether or not plagiarism is discussed at the new student orientation. Third, we are interested in PeopleSoft. We have specific concerns, and we are interested in the administrators' views of the strengths and weaknesses.


The ER&S committee invited Dean Stephen Shorb and RSU Director, Audrey Defrank to present on library services to support doctoral program and the Institutional Repository.

Dean Shorb reiterated the SVC and Chancellor’s priorities (Early Childhood, Global Engagement, STEM, Doctoral Programs, Sustainability, etc.) and how the library will have representation on most of the subcommittees. Shorb discussed the ways in which the library will improve its posture and those include:

- The Institutional Repository
- New, unique primary resource materials
- Danish American archives (primary, original research materials)
- Early film collection (Harold Chenowith’s collection) with films dealing with multi-disciplinary content from the 1920’s-1940’s.
• E-Books—acquired in the new model of “Patron Driven Acquisitions.” This collection of between 200,000-400,000 electronic books (pre-selected), not purchased until searching interest level criteria is met. This portion of Steve’s presentation elicited several questions including whether or not the books could be used as text books (“it depends”) and when does the program roll out (also, “it depends”). It is likely that there will be a soft launch of the program to test how it’s working and then be ready to fully move forward in the fall.

• Iowa Western Community College-extending library privileges to this group of students. Reciprocal arrangements are not yet in place but there is discussion as to whether UNO students will have borrowing privileges at IWCC.

• Athletes—Steve mentioned that there are efforts to provide tutoring and academic support to Athletes and that the library is poised to help. What that means exactly remains to be seen. Librarians might have “office hours” for athletes, or provide other on-site research assistance, etc. One detail that Dean Shorb shared was that we will be getting printer/copiers that have a scanning option, allowing students to scan for free and to help with the “green” initiatives on campus.

• Microfilm collections are wearing out and we will be buying online for what is wearing out.

• Streaming video—we are trialing various streaming video products (most from the vendor Alexander Street Press). To learn about current trials, look for the “Database Trials” link on the library homepage.

• Web of Knowledge/Web of Science—Dean Shorb demonstrated the new database acquisition Web of Knowledge for the group. This is the resource Scott Snyder and former SVC Hynes subsidized for the library. This is a citation database with links to full-text (if we already have access elsewhere). This database contains: Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-EXPANDED) - 1900-present; Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) - 1900-present; Arts & Humanities Citation Index (A&HCI) - 1975-present; Medline - 1950-present.

Research Services Unit Director, Audrey Defrank presented on the Institutional Repository (IR). The product UNO has purchased is Digital Commons. This is a “scholarly database” of research generated from the UNO community. This is a database designed to support faculty journal articles (full-text and links to full text), UNO Journals and other publications (Journal of Religion and Film), Community Engagement Clearinghouse for projects, partners and publications, Conference Proceedings, Dissertation and Theses and Student Research.

The IR will disseminate and showcase Research and Creative Activity at UNO and will help in accreditation, visibility, accessibility, recruitment and UNO “brand awareness.” It will serve as an archive of the University’s work (some material has already been uploaded). Defrank shared what advantages she sees in the IR and those include: static urls, statistical reporting capability, OAI Compliant Metadata, in the larger Digital Commons network, it’s a hosted system, and fully indexed in Google, Google Scholar, and Bing.

The library is in the process of interviewing candidates to fill the position of IR Coordinator. We are currently migrating materials that UNL has hosted on their IR, including the Afghan Collection. To take a look at the IR, click on this link: http://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/.

C. Committee on Faculty Personnel and Welfare: Senator Melanson reported the committee has made simple corrections and approved the Emeritus document sent by Provost Pratt. President Rech will send that information on to Central
Administration. The committee is also pursuing problems with the University’s disability insurance.

D. Committee on Goals and Directions: Senator Kelly reported the committee is working on assessing relationships between UNO and Metro, Iowa Western, etc.

E. Committee on Professional Development: Senator Robins reported the committee met October 26, 2011. Present: Chao, Hayes, Kriz, Robins, Winter, Woody. Items discussed included:

1. Keeping up with Classroom Technology
   a. When seen from a campus-wide perspective, tech support and training on effective use of classroom technology could be improved. One issue centers on determining who is responsible for providing training to faculty on the use of technology residing in a particular building. In particular, suppose a faculty member has an office in one building but teaches a class in another building. Anecdotal evidence suggests that support staff residing in a building give priority to their "home team" - i.e., faculty that also reside in the same building.
   b. Roskens Hall is outfitted with state-of-the-art classroom technology:
      i. smartboards
      ii. document cameras
      iii. LCD screens
      iv. Dual-platform laptop carts.
      v. automatic shades
      vi. programmed light sources
      Faculty need to be trained on the use of this technology.
   c. Fun fact: Help-desk will not give out phone numbers
2. Online/Distance Technology
   a. Moodle
      i. freely available
      ii. customizable
      iii. would need to be integrated with SIS and other records systems
      iv. current blackboard content would need to be migrated
   b. Blackboard
      i. integrated with UNO's records systems
      ii. site license for UNO is around 80-100K annually
      There are mixed feelings among UNO faculty in general, and PDC members in particular, regarding the decision to favor Blackboard over Moodle. However, the belief is that UN administration has decided to adopt Blackboard and therefore we believe that the chances of a serious reexamination of alternate online tool options is unlikely to occur.
3. Online Education
   a. Many free on-line courses are offered (over 400) from institutions like Stanford, Yale, MIT, Oxford, Berkeley, Columbia, Penn State, Notre Dame and Harvard.
   b. At UNO more and more departments and faculty are incorporating online elements into their courses (hybrid/blended formats).
   c. Revenue from distance ed could help balance our budget.
   d. Challenges include reaching underrepresented groups, such as Native Americans, via the online medium.
4. Invite BJ Reed for next meeting if possible.
V. Non-Senate Committee Reports

A. Academic Freedom Coalition of Nebraska (AFCON): Professor Mitchell wrote the AFCON Board Meeting was held in Lincoln at the Easley Library Saturday, October 8, 2011, at 10 AM. President Rod Wagner presided.

- Tom Black for received the Intellectual Freedom Award nomination from the Nebraska English Language Arts Council.

- Articles for the AFCON Sentinel should be sent to Black by November 21, 2011. The next issue of the Sentinel will be available on December 16, 2011. Adair suggested placing a notice in the Sentinel asking members to provide their email addresses to Black so he can send out more issues electronically. Articles for the Sentinel should be emailed to: wpc6296@cableone.net

- The 2012 AFCON slate of officers will be: President-elect, Frank Edler; Treasurer, Cathi McMurtry; and Secretary, Peggy Adair. The slate will be presented at the AFCON annual meeting and will be voted upon via snail-mail before the January, 2012 AFCON meeting.

- FALL PROGRAM/ANNUAL MEETING: The Fall Program/Annual Meeting will be held at Beacon Hill Restaurant on Saturday, November 12. The time frame will be similar as in past meetings, from around 10:00am to 1:00pm. The theme of the annual meeting is the passage of the civics education bill (LB544) and resultant changes in the approach to and teaching of civics in Nebraska.

- ACADEMIC FREEDOM CONTEST: ACLU voted to provide up the $600 for prizes, as co-sponsors of the contest. ACLU suggested a contest deadline of March 23, 2012.

B. Academic Planning Council (APC): Senator Lomneth wrote that program reviews are almost complete and then the committee will begin meeting to discuss. Psychology will be the last review and will take place on November 8 & 9. Reviews that have taken place are for Music, Writers Workshop, Gerontology, Theater, and Public Administration.

C. American Association of University Professors (AAUP): Senator P. Smith reported this AAUP recently hosted the Nebraska Conference of the AAUP. The Fall Dinner for the UNO AAUP will be held November 11, 2011. Reservations are still being accepted.

D. Facilities Planning Committee: Senators Carroll, Surface, or Prof. O’Hara (Next meeting is scheduled for 11/22/11)

E. Graduate Council: Senator Mahoney reported the next meeting will be October 14, 2011.

F. Library and Learning Resources: Senator Harden wrote that the committee met for the first time this academic year on Thursday, September 29. We discussed our charge with our new committee members and then heard a report from Dean Steve Shorb. The good news is that funds are available for the book budget and that departments should send in their request. The challenging news is that serials continue to inflate in price, $100,000 over what we have been spending for serials and journals. There are several new projects on the horizon and he will let us know
when they are up and running, these included a Patron Driven Collections for E-Books and a new program for using video content to improve classroom instruction. Following his report we had a discussion on how to get more information to students and faculty regarding improvements to the library and increasing library usage. Regarding hours, we are fairly average for an academic library, but if we were to expand our hours it requires at least four employees to be present. Other issues that came up: adding lighting in the main room to the Faculty Area, what type of support does the Library receive from the foundation, reviewing the video reserve policy, texting from the new catalogue system, and new signs.

G. **Strategic Planning Steering Committee:** Senator Bacon reported the Strategic Planning Forum is scheduled for February 3, 2012, from 7:45 a.m. until 2 p.m. at the Student Center. They will be looking at Goal #1. All are invited to attend. You may come and go as your schedule permits.

H. **U-Wide Fringe Benefits Committee:** Professor Erickson sent no report. The next meeting is scheduled for April 2012.

VI. **Old Business**

Senator R. Smith moved to return to the floor the resolution introduced by Senator Carroll on October 12, 2001 and postponed. Senator Woody seconded. The motion passed and the resolution was returned for consideration.

Senator R. Smith moved to amend the resolution by striking paragraph #2. There was no second and that amendment died.

Senator R. Smith moved to amend the resolution by striking paragraph #4. Senator Melanson seconded. The amendment passed.

Senator Surface moved to amend the resolution by striking paragraph #6. Senator P. Smith seconded. The amendment passed.

Senator R. Smith moved to amend the resolution by inserting the following in place of paragraph #8. *(Be It Resolved, that the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate name a review panel of seven tenured faculty members to develop and prepare a survey tool to be used by faculty to evaluate the Chancellor and Vice Chancellors. Upon completion of the survey, the panel shall report its results to the Faculty Senate.)* Senator Richter-Egger seconded. Senator Richter-Egger moved to amend this amendment by replacing “prepare” with “administer.” This amendment to the amendment passed. The amended amendment was then defeated: 6 for and 15 against.

Senator Hayes moved to end the discussion and refer the resolution to the Executive Committee. Senator Robins seconded. This motion to end discussion was passed unanimously.

Senator Melanson moved to call a vote to refer the resolution to the Executive Committee. This motion passed.

#1. Whereas Resolution 1538 sets out guidelines for Evaluation of Administrators, and
#2. Whereas sound practice of shared governance needs to be regularly redefined as the nature of the institution and its communities change, and

#3. Whereas the reduction in the number of Vice-Chancellors and the increased turnover of senior administrators has resulted in no recent evaluation by faculty of the Chancellor or Vice-Chancellors, and

#4. Whereas the office of the Senior Vice-Chancellor did not complete faculty evaluation of the performance of Deans in the last academic year, and

#5. Whereas the prior Chancellor’s term ended five years ago, whereupon Dr. Christensen service as Chancellor started, as Interim from September, 2006, until October, 2007, and

#6. Whereas Dr. Christensen’s relatively short term of service as Vice-Chancellor did not permit enough time in office for appropriate evaluation of his performance in that position, and

#7. Whereas a constructive evaluation of the Chancellor’s overall performance would set a helpful precedent for faculty evaluation of other senior administrators as a pattern of such evaluation is restored,

#8. Moved that, consistent with Resolution 1538, the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate name a review panel of seven tenured faculty members to develop, with assistance of the Chancellor, a list of those who have worked with the Chancellor sufficiently to be familiar with his performance, and prepare a survey tool to be used to gather data, which the panel will summarize and report to the Faculty Senate.

VII. New Business

A. Faculty Senate President-Elect: It was announced that the President-Elect would be elected at the December 14, 2011, Faculty Senate meeting. The list of those eligible is (with the exception of Senator Carroll who removed himself for consideration because he will be retiring at the end of this academic year):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A&amp;S</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;S</td>
<td>Meredith Bacon</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Political Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Carroll</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Ziaul Huq</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Marketing &amp; Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wai-Ning Mei</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William Melanson</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Philosophy/Religion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gregory Petrow</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Political Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Janice Rech</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dana Richter-Egger</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert W. Smith</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Woody</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Psychology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Senator Surface nominated Senator P. Smith, who accepted the nomination. The nominations were left open until next month.

B. OASA Priorities Committees:

AVC Deb Smith-Howell sent the following e-mail and membership list to President Rechen on 10/26/2011:

The UNO Deans’ Forum has identified five areas as emerging priority areas for UNO. In order to explore and develop the potential for these areas, I have established five groups, each chaired or co-chaired by a member of Deans’ Forum, to write a brief white paper report identifying UNO’s current capacities and potential in these areas. The reports will be completed by Monday, December 5, 2011. Given the size of the groups and the timelines, the chairs will organize their groups to solicit broad input with an aggressive timeline. You will be contacted by the chair with more information on how the group will be organized and next steps.

Thanks everyone!

As of 11/1/11:
UNO OASA Priorities Committees:

Goal: To develop a white paper on UNO’s capacities and potential in these five emerging priority areas. Common elements within each of the priority areas are: (1) interdisciplinary/multidisciplinary; (2) Community Engagement; and (3) External Funding
White Paper Framework: Gail Baker (Communication, Fine Arts & Media)

Early Childhood/Child Welfare
   Nancy Edick, Chair (Education)
   Melissa Cast Library
   Shari Hofschire Art & Art History
   Lisa Kelly-Vance Psychology
   Bridget Ryalls Psychology
   Samantha Ammons (Sociology)
   Karen Falconer Al-Hindi (Women’s Studies/Geography)
   Ann Coyne, Social Work
   Jay Killion, Information Services
   Sajda Qureshi, ISQA
   Peter Wolcott, ISQA
   Peter Simi, Criminology and Criminal Justice
   Sam Ammons, Sociology

STEM
   David Boocker co-chair (Arts & Sciences)
   Hesham Ali co-chair (Information Science & Technology)
     Neal Grandgenett, (Teacher Education)
     Nora Hillyer (Library)
     Jeremy Bagayous (Music)
     Robert Shuster (Geography/Geology)
     Bruce Chase (Biology)
     Janice Rech (Mathematics)
     Rosemary Strasser (Psychology)
     Michaela Lucas (NASA Space Grant Office)
     Qiuming Zhu (Computer Science)
     Neal Topp, Academic Affairs/Teacher Education
     Michael O’Hara, Finance & Law
     Joyce Crockett, Information Services
     Jay Irwin, Sociology

Doctoral/Research
   Deborah Smith-Howell co-chair (Graduate Studies)
   Scott Snyder, co-chair (Research & Creative Activity)
     Audrey DeFrank (Library)
     Nick Stergiou (Health, Physical Education, & Recreation)
     John McCarty (Biology)
     Roni Reiter-Palmon (Psychology)
     Greg Petrow (Political Science)
     Michael Bishop (Geography/Geology)
     Ken Kriz (Public Administration)
     Raj Dasgupta, (Computer Science)
     Ann Fruhling (Interdisciplinary Informatics)
     Andrew Buker, Information Services
     Dan Hawkins, Sociology

Sustainability
John Bartle, Chair, Public Affairs & Community Service
  John Fiene, Information Services
  Richard Yoder, Nebraska Business Development Center
  Chris Decker, Economics
  Jerilyn Hynes Kamm, Communication
  Rich Lomneth, Chemistry
  Paul Landow, Political Science
  Lisa Scherer, Psychology
  George Englemann, Geography/Geology
  LaRessa Wolfenbarger, Biology
  Mahadevan Subramaniam, Computer Science
  Patrick Wheeler, Environmental Health and Safety
  Alan Osborne, Sociology

Global Engagement
  Louis Pol, Chair, Business Administration
  Tom Gouttierre, International Studies & Programs
  Steve Shorb, Library
  Carolyn Gascoigne, Academic Affairs/Foreign Languages
  Tomm Roland, Music
  Pauline Brannan, Criminal Justice,
  Sarah Edwards, Teacher Education
  Deepak Khazanchi, Information Science & Technology
  Ilze Zigurs, Information Systems & Quantitative Analysis
  Michael Peterson, Geography/Geology
  Jonathan Benjamin-Alvarado, Political Science/OLLAS
  Juan Casas, Psychology/OLLAS
  Tej Adidam, Marketing and Management
  Lanyce Keel, Information Services
  Beth Ritter, Sociology

VIII. The meeting adjourned at 4 p.m. with announcements.