

# **AAQEP Annual Report for 2025**

Provider/Program Name:	University of Nebraska at Omaha Initial Educator Certification Programs
End Date of Current AAQEP Accreditation Term (or "n/a" if not yet accredited):	12/31/2029

# PART I: Publicly Available Program Performance and Candidate Achievement Data

#### 1. Overview and Context

This overview describes the mission and context of the educator preparation provider and the programs included in its AAQEP review.

The University of Nebraska at Omaha (UNO) College of Education, Health, and Human Sciences (CEHHS) delivers world-class programs that prepare professionals who enhance the quality of life locally, nationally, and globally. Through alignment with UNO's strategic goals, CEHHS provides high-quality, inclusive, and affordable learning opportunities designed to actively engage a diverse and dynamic community.

CEHHS's strategic plan emphasizes three core principles: dedicated practitioner, reflective scholar, and responsible citizen. Each department within the College establishes its own mission, vision, and purpose statements that align with the college's and university's strategic plans. These academic units develop initiatives linked to strategic goals and

provide quarterly updates to ensure progress. At the heart of every initiative, CEHHS places students first, offering rigorous preparation programs and fostering active community engagement.

UNO's urban metropolitan campus allows the Educator Preparation Program (EPP) to integrate fieldwork in both urban and suburban school settings. All candidates participate in at least one practicum experience with the Omaha Public Schools, Nebraska's largest district, serving more than 51,700 PK-12 students. These practicum experiences are intentionally designed to progressively build candidates' knowledge, skills, and dispositions through feedback from mentor teachers and instructors.

Interest holders actively contribute to program improvement efforts at the college and department levels. Departments and the EPP conduct regular data reviews to inform enhancements, while results are shared with the Metropolitan Omaha Education Consortium (MOEC). MOEC includes 12 area school districts, two educational agencies, two community colleges, and UNO, working collaboratively to address workforce needs and strengthen programs.

The programs accredited by the Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation (AAQEP) include all initial educator certification pathways, encompassing undergraduate and post-baccalaureate programs. Since the last AAQEP review, CEHHS has expanded its offerings to include a new post-baccalaureate program for initial special education certification, further demonstrating its commitment to meeting the needs of the education profession.

By aligning strategic planning, fostering collaborative partnerships, and focusing on student-centered learning, CEHHS continues to prepare educators who transform communities and inspire future generations.

## **Public Posting URL**

Part I of this report is posted at the following web address (accredited members filing this report must post at least Part I):

https://www.unomaha.edu/college-of-education-health-and-human-sciences/about/licensure-certification-exams.php

# 2. Enrollment and Completion Data

Table 1 shows current enrollment and recent completion data, disaggregated by program and license/certificate, for each program included in the AAQEP review.

Table 1. Program Specification: Enrollment and Completers for Academic Year 2024-2025

<b>Degree or Program</b> offered by the institution/organization	Certificate, License, Endorsement, or Other Credential granted by the state	Number of Candidates Enrolled in most recently completed academic year (12 months ending 05/25)	Number of Completers in most recently completed academic year (12 months ending 05/25)
P	rograms that lead to initial teaching credent	tials	
Elementary Education (B.S)	Elementary Education K-6	247	60
Elementary Education (B.S)	School Librarian PK-12	0	0
Early Childhood Inclusive (B.S)	Early Childhood Inclusive	76	33
Elementary/Special Education (B.S.)	Special Education K-6	14	7
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Art PK-12	41	15
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Business, Marketing & Information Technology 6-12	5	2
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Biology 7-12	6	3
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Chemistry 7-12	1	0
Secondary English (B.S.)	English Language Arts 7-12	23	8
Secondary Education (B.S.)	French 7-12	0	0
Secondary Education (B.S.)	German 7-12	0	0
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Health 7-12	4	1

Secondary Education (B.S.)	Mathematics 6-12	22	11
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Middle Grades- Language Arts 4-9	2	1
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Middle Grades- Math 4-9	6	4
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Middle Grades- Science 4-9	4	2
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Middle Grades- Social Science 4-9	2	1
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Music PK-12	29	9
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Physics 7-12	3	0
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Physical Education 7-12	4	1
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Physical Education PK-12	28	7
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Science 7-12	6	3
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Secondary English 7-12	8	3
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Social Science 7-12	48	15
Secondary Education (B.S.)	Spanish 7-12	12	4
Secondary Special Education (B.S.)	Special Education 7-12	14	6
Endorsement added to another major	Deaf/Hard of Hearing PK - 6	2	1
	Deaf/Hard of Hearing 7-12	1	1
	Special Education K-6 (dual endorsement)	23	6
	Special Education 7-12 (dual endorsement)	0	0
Supplemental Endorsements- Added to	Adaptive PE PK -12	0	0
another endorsement program	Coaching 7-12	14	0
	Early Childhood Education PK-3	45	11

	English as a Second Language PK-6	50	9
	English as a Second Language 7-12	21	7
	Information Technology PK -12	0	0
	Work-Based Learning 9-12	0	0
Special Education (M.S.)	Special Education K-6	11	2
Special Education (M.S)	Special Education 7-12	15	2
Post-Bac Initial Certification Programs	Elementary Education K-6	27	10
	Art K-12	3	1
	Business, Marketing & Information Technology 6-12	9	2
	English Language Arts 7-12	5	2
	Health 7-12	2	1
	Math 6-12	3	1
	Middle Level English Language Arts 5-9	1	1
	Middle Level Math 5-9	1	0
	Middle Level Social Science 5-9	1	0
	Physical Education 7-12	2	1
	Physical Education PK-12	8	4
	Science 7-12	5	2
	Secondary English 7-12	6	3
	Social Science 7-12	8	1
	French 7-12	1	0

	Spanish 7-12	1	0
Total for programs that lead to initial credentials		870	264
TOTAL enrollment and productivity for all programs		870	264
Unduplicated t	otal of all program candidates and completers	671	219

#### **Added or Discontinued Programs**

Any programs within the AAQEP review that have been added or discontinued within the past year are listed below. (This list is required only from providers with accredited programs.)

Added: a new graduate program in Early Childhood Inclusive education that leads to initial certification was started in Fall 2025 with the first cohort.

## 3. Program Performance Indicators

The program performance information in Table 2 applies to the academic year indicated in Table 1.

#### **Table 2. Program Performance Indicators**

A. **Total enrollment** in the educator preparation programs shown in Table 1. This figure is an unduplicated count, i.e., individuals earning more than one credential may be counted in more than one line above but only once here.

671

B. **Total number of unique completers** (across all programs) included in Table 1. This figure is an unduplicated count, i.e., individuals who earned more than one credential may be counted in more than one line above but only once here.

219

C. **Number of recommendations** for certificate, license, or endorsement included in Table 1.

264

D. **Cohort completion rates** for candidates who completed the various programs within their respective program's expected timeframe **and** in 1.5 times the expected timeframe.

Degree Fall 2024- Summer 2025	Completion Cohort N	Graduated in 100% Time (4 years)	Graduated in 150% Time (6 years)	Graduation Rate % (4 years)	Graduation Rate % (6 years)	Overall Graduation Rate in 6 years
Early Childhood Inclusive (BS)	33	27	5	81.8%	15.2%	97.0%
Elementary Education (BS)	66	45	17	68.2%	25.8%	93.9%
Elementary/Special Education (BS)	10	6	3	60.0%	30.0%	90.0%
Secondary Education (BS)	66	39	23	59.1%	34.8%	93.9%
Secondary Special Education	7	6	1	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
TOTAL	182	123	49	68%	27%	95%

The expected degree completion rate is four years. Many UNO Educator Preparation Program students are employed during their program and completing within 5-6 years. The completion rates in the table above indicate that the overall completion rates have improved from 91% for the 2023-2024 to 95% for the 2024-2025 cohort. There was also an improvement in the 4-year graduation rate from 64% (2023-2024) to 68% (2024-2025).

E. **Summary of state license examination results**, including teacher performance assessments, and specification of any examinations on which the pass rate (cumulative at time of reporting) was below 80%.

Programs with Praxis II Content Exams completers are listed below from the 2025 Title II Report with results for tests provided by ETS for tests with 10 or more completers. All exams had a pass rate above 80% with an overall pass rate of 91% for all 2023-2024 completers with test scores reported. Two programs had pass rates of less than 80%: Early Childhood Inclusive and Social Studies. Per Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) Rule changes, completers are issued a teaching certificate without a passing score and will not need to have a passing score after two years of teaching experience. Additionally, the NDE has eliminated the Praxis Content Exam as of June 2025. Data will not be available for future reports.

Praxis II Content Exam	Number Passed	Total Number	UNO Pass Rate
Art (5134)	*	*	*
Biology (0235)	*	*	*
Business (5101)	*	*	*
Deaf/Hard of Hearing (5272)	*	*	*
Education of Young Children (5024)	23	29	79%
Elementary Education (5017)	85	88	97%
English Language Arts (5039)	15	16	94%
French (5174)	*	*	*
General Science (0435)	*	*	*
Health Education (5551)	*	*	*
Mathematics (5165)	*	*	*
Mathematics Content Knowledge (5161)	*	*	*
Music (5114)	*	*	*
Physical Education (5091)	*	*	*
Physics (5265)	*	*	*
Special Education (5354)	23	23	100%
Social Studies (0081)	16	22	73%
Spanish (5195)	*	*	*
Overall	162	178	91%

<sup>\*</sup>Programs with less than 10 exam completers are not reported in Title II.

F. Explanation of evidence available from program completers, with a characterization of findings.

**First Year Teacher Survey.** The 2025 Nebraska First-Year Teacher Survey, conducted by the Nebraska Department of Education (NDE), gathered insights from new teachers across the 16 educator preparation institutions, including the University of Nebraska at Omaha (UNO). The survey assessed the preparedness of first-year teachers using the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards as a framework. Results specific to UNO completers are discussed throughout the report. Distributed electronically, the survey achieved a 43% response rate for UNO completers. Notably, 88% of teachers rated themselves as moderately or highly effective in regard to impact on student learning.

**Third Year Teacher Survey.** The 2025 Nebraska Third-Year Teacher Survey, conducted by NDE, evaluated the effectiveness of third-year teachers who completed their preparation programs at Nebraska educator preparation institutions. The survey achieved a 35% response rate for UNO and revealed that 94% of respondents rate their performance as a third-year teacher as either "Highly Effective" or "Moderately Effective."

G. Explanation of evidence available from employers of program completers, with a characterization of findings.

**First Year Teacher Survey by Principals.** The 2025 Nebraska First-Year Teacher Survey, conducted by NDE, included responses from principals, achieving a 68% response rate. Of the 104 principal respondents, 102 (98%) indicated that the first-year teachers were adequately prepared for continued employment.

**Third Year Teacher Survey by Principals.** The 2025 Nebraska Third-Year Teacher Survey, conducted by NDE, evaluated the effectiveness of third-year teachers who completed preparation programs at Nebraska educator preparation institutions. Distributed electronically, the survey achieved a 68% response rate from principals. Of the 70 responding principals, 69 (99%) agreed that their third-year teachers were well-prepared for continued employment in their districts.

Additional first- and third-year survey results are provided in Table 4 for Standard 2.

H. Explanation of how the program investigates **employment rates for program completers**, with a characterization of findings. This section may also indicate rates of completers' ongoing education, e.g., graduate study.

The program relies on several sources of data to determine employment rates for program completers. Candidates are asked to complete an exit survey during clinical experience to determine their plan (accepted a teaching position, searching for a teaching position, moving out of state, substitute teaching, pursuing and advanced degree, or other). While the completion rate of this exit survey ranges from 65-90%, this does provide some additional information that we are not able to obtain from other sources. The other sources include the Nebraska Department of Education School Personnel roster and additional searching for completers.

Based on a review of the Nebraska Department of Education Educators' Roster as the main data source in conjunction with the exit survey, we were able to determine that approximately 82% of 2023-2024 cohort were hired for the 2024-2025 school year which is an increase from the 2022-2023 cohort of 71%. Some of this may be due to the ability to find completers in the NDE Educators' Roster.

Additionally, we have been working to track completers' second- and third-year employment to determine how many completers are employed in those years as well as how many completers are employed in a high needs school or in a high needs teaching field.

I. Explanation of how the **staffing capacity** for program delivery and administration and quality assurance system monitoring have changed during the reporting year, if at all, and how capacity matches the current size of the program.

The staffing capacity for program delivery for 2025-2026 is comparable to 2024-2025. The current staffing capacity matches the needs and size of the program.

#### 4. Candidate Academic Performance Indicators

Tables 3 and 4 report on select measures (3 to 5 measures for each standard) of candidate/completer performance related to AAQEP Standards 1 and 2, including the program's expectations for performance (criteria for success) and indicators of the degree to which those expectations are met.

Table 3. Expectations and Performance on Standard 1: Candidate and Completer Performance

Provider-selected	Criteria for success	Level or extent of success in meeting the expectation		
measures				
(name and description)				
Lesson Plan	80% of Candidates			
Assessment	will be at Target on		Fall	Spring
	each of the 10 items.		2024	2025
		Item	N = 54	N = 176
		1. Anticipatory Set	89%	84%
		2. Assessment	81%	85%
		3. Closure	83%	88%
		4. Content Standards	87%	97%

The Lesson Plan		Culturally Despensive		
A		5. Culturally Responsive Teaching	89%	91%
Assessment is a key				
assessment used across			67%	88%
the initial certification		7. Instructional Alignment	85%	92%
programs during the		8. Learning / Classroom	000/	050/
advanced practicum		Environment	93%	95%
methods course.		9. Performance Objective	80%	85%
		10. Teaching and Learning	700/	000/
		Sequence *Percentage of all EPP at Target	72%	66%
		evaluated using a common lesson pla academic year. Results indicate that achieved a "Target" rating on eight ke an increase from four indicators in 20	80% or more of 202 ey areas across bot	24-2025 candidates
		Teaching and Learning Sequence is a improvement. Differentiation for Elemany trends to determine if changes are	nentary candidates v	will be monitored for
Strategies Project	80% of Candidates	improvement. Differentiation for Elem	nentary candidates were needed in this are	will be monitored for ea.
	are rated at Target	improvement. Differentiation for Elem	nentary candidates vere needed in this are	will be monitored for ea.  Spring
The Strategies Project is	are rated at Target for each of the 10	improvement. Differentiation for Elem	nentary candidates were needed in this are	will be monitored for ea.
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates	are rated at Target	improvement. <i>Differentiation</i> for Elemany trends to determine if changes are	re needed in this are Fall 2024	will be monitored for ea.  Spring 2025
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical	are rated at Target for each of the 10	improvement. Differentiation for Elemany trends to determine if changes are  Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners	re needed in this are Fall 2024 N = 69	Spring 2025 N = 130
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester.	are rated at Target for each of the 10	improvement. Differentiation for Elemany trends to determine if changes are  Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and	Fall 2024 N = 69 96%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94%
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester. Candidates are provided	are rated at Target for each of the 10	improvement. Differentiation for Elemany trends to determine if changes are  Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and instruction	Fall 2024 N = 69 96% 96%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94% 87%
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester.	are rated at Target for each of the 10	Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and instruction 4. Research-supported instruction	Fall 2024 N = 69 96% 96% 86% 98%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94% 87% 95%
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester. Candidates are provided	are rated at Target for each of the 10	Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and instruction 4. Research-supported instruction 5. Technology-supported learning	Fall 2024 N = 69 96% 96%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94% 87%
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester. Candidates are provided with information during	are rated at Target for each of the 10	Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and instruction 4. Research-supported instruction 5. Technology-supported learning 6. Assessment to measure learner	Fall 2024 N = 69 96% 96% 98% 97%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94% 87% 95% 98%
The Strategies Project is completed by candidates during their clinical practice semester. Candidates are provided with information during their clinical practice	are rated at Target for each of the 10	Strategies Project Item  1. Instruction to support content 2. Instruction to engage learners 3. Assessment for planning and instruction 4. Research-supported instruction 5. Technology-supported learning	Fall 2024 N = 69 96% 96% 86% 98%	Spring 2025 N = 130 96% 94% 87% 95%

				000/		<b>50</b> /
		8. Research-supported assessme	ent	96%	9	5%
Candidates demonstrate		9. Technology to monitor student		020/		20/
the ability to use		learning 10. Technology to communicate		93%	9	3%
evidence-based		assessment results		97%		4%
instructional and		*Percentage of all EPP at Target		91 /0	<u> </u>	4 70
assessment practices as		, ordernage or all zirr at ranget				
well as technology to		The data highlights a trend of str	ong and a	oneistant na	rformanco	across the
		5 5	•	•		
inform instruction and		10 indicators with Assessment fo		<u> </u>	•	•
assessment during a		Assessment to Measure Learner	_	` '		
sequence of lessons.		criteria for success and were rela	atively low	er. Technolo	gy-Suppor	ted Learning
		(item 5) and Instruction to Suppo	rt Content	(item 1) we	re the high	est rated
		indicators.				
Clinical Experience Final	80% of Candidates					
Assessment	are rated Proficient or		F	all	Sn	ring
Assessifient				024		25
	Advanced as			= 69		105
The Clinical Experience	assessed by Mentor					
Assessment is a 15-item	Teacher and		MT	US	MT	US
assessment developed	University					
by the Nebraska	Supervisor.	1. Learner Development	96%	98%	97%	99%
Department of Education		2 Learner Differences	040/	000/	96%	99%
and is required for		2. Learner Differences	91%	98%	9070	9970
assessment during the		3. Learning Environment	96%	100%	92%	98%
clinical practice		or zeeming zimmerin	0070	10070		0070
•		4. Content Knowledge	97%	100%	97%	100%
semester.		5. Application of Content-				
		Critical Thinking	99%	100%	97%	100%
		6. Application of Content-			- 404	
		Literacy & Comm Skills	96%	100%	94%	97%
		7. Uses Classroom	069/	089/	060/	00%
		Assessment	96%	98%	96%	99%
		8. Assesses for Learning	96%	97%	94%	98%
		. Access of Edulining	0070	3770	0170	3370
		9. Plan for Instruction	99%	100%	94%	100%

10. Incorporates Digital Tools	94%	98%	94%	99%
11. Research-Based	070/	4000/	070/	4000/
Instructional Strategies	97%	100%	97%	100%
12. Uses Engagement to Enhance Learning	99%	100%	91%	98%
13. Accepts Critique	97%	98%	99%	99%
14. Professional demeanor	97%	98%	99%	99%
15. Professional communication	97%	98%	97%	98%
*Percentage of all EPP at Proficient	or Advance	d		

The table demonstrates that over 90% of 2024-2025 candidates were rated at Proficient or higher by both Mentor Teachers (MT) and University Supervisors (US) across the 15-item assessment.

Both mentor teachers' and university supervisors' scores are consistently high, demonstrating a general trend of stability. There is also overall consistency between Mentor Teachers and University Supervisors with reliability between the Mentor Teachers and University Supervisors reviewed annually. Across the two semesters, items 3 and 10 had the most variability between MT and US ratings, however the overall consistency was still high.

Table 4. Expectations and Performance on Standard 2: Completer Professional Competence and Growth

Provider-selected measures (name and description)	Criteria for success	Level or extent of success in meeting the expectation
NDE 1 <sup>st</sup> Year Completer Survey	80% of Completers rate themselves as Proficient or Advanced	

The Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) annually surveys first and third year completers and their principals on the 25- item survey that is aligned with the 10 InTASC standards. The statewide reports along with more detailed survey item information is available at <a href="https://www.education.ne.gov/educatorprep/about-the-programs/">https://www.education.ne.gov/educatorprep/about-the-programs/</a>	across the 10 Standards	Learner Development Learning Differences Learning Environments Content Knowledge Application of Content Assessment Planning for Instruction Instructional Strategies Prof. Learning and Ethical Leadership & Collaboration *Percentage rated Proficient or Advanced.  The results for the 2025 UNO First-secondary content and K-12 prograr for success across the 10 standards met the criteria for success on 6 of t lowest on Learning Differences with instruction.	ms (art, music, and P Elementary educati he 10 standards and	E) meet the criteria ion first year teachers rated themselves the
NDE 1st Year Principal Survey  The Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) annually surveys first and third year completers and their principals on the 25- item survey that is aligned with the clinical experience assessment. The statewide reports	80% of Principals rate completers as Proficient or Advanced across the 10 standards	Learner Development Learning Differences Learning Environments Content Knowledge Application of Content Assessment Planning for Instruction	Elementary Education N = 34 72% 74% 84% 83% 71% 74% 79%	Secondary Content and K-12 N = 51 79% 75% 85% 85% 71% 75% 77%

along with more detailed
survey item information
is available at
https://www.education.ne.
gov/educatorprep/about-
the-programs/

Instructional Strategies	77%	77%
Prof. Learning and Ethical	84%	89%
Leadership & Collaboration	90%	85%

<sup>\*</sup>Percentage rated Proficient or Advanced.

Principals rated the first year elementary and secondary/K-12 teachers as Proficient or Advanced on 4 of the 10 standards which is lower than the teachers' self-ratings. The standards meeting the criteria for success were consistent across Elementary and Secondary/K-12.

# NDE 3<sup>rd</sup> Year Completer Survey

The Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) annually surveys first and third year completers and their principals on the 25item survey that is aligned with the clinical experience assessment. The statewide reports along with more detailed survey item information is available at https://www.education.ne. gov/educatorprep/aboutthe-programs/

80% of Completers rate themselves as Proficient or Advanced across the 10 standards

Item	Elementary Education N = 17	Secondary Content and K-12 N = 15
Learner Development	80%	100%
Learning Differences	67%	69%
Learning Environments	91%	93%
Content Knowledge	82%	100%
Application of Content	62%	87%
Assessment	82%	95%
Planning for Instruction	71%	93%
Instructional Strategies	82%	96%
Prof. Learning and Ethical	85%	97%
Leadership & Collaboration	88%	90%

<sup>\*</sup>Percentage rated Proficient or Advanced.

Third-year UNO elementary teachers rated themselves as Proficient or Advanced on 7/10 standards while secondary/K-12 teachers rated themselves as Proficient or Advanced on 9/10 standards.

NDE 3<sup>rd</sup> Year Principal Survey

The Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) annually surveys first and third year completers and their principals on the 25item survey that is aligned with the clinical experience assessment. The statewide reports along with more detailed survey item information is available at https://www.education.ne. gov/educatorprep/aboutthe-programs/

80% of Principals rate completers as Proficient or Advanced across the 10 standards

Item	Elementary Education N = 29	Secondary Content and K-12 N = 35
Learner Development	90%	89%
Learning Differences	89%	90%
Learning Environments	91%	93%
Content Knowledge	94%	96%
Application of Content	93%	90%
Assessment	91%	91%
Planning for Instruction	90%	90%
Instructional Strategies	89%	91%
Prof. Learning and Ethical	85%	92%
Leadership & Collaboration	88%	97%

<sup>\*</sup>Percentage rated Proficient or Advanced.

Principals of third-year UNO teachers rated both Elementary and Secondary/Advanced as Proficient or Advanced across all 10 standards.

## 5. Notes on Progress, Accomplishment, and Innovation

This section describes program accomplishments, efforts, and innovations (strengths and outcomes) to address challenges and priorities over the past year.

#### **Partnerships and State Workforce Needs**

A lot of innovations occurred during 2025 that supports partnerships and state workforce needs with several new pathways to certification created. This work aligns with Aspect 4a and 4d as we work with school district partners to support high-need schools and address the teacher shortage.

A new Elementary Para to Teacher pathway was developed during the 2024-2025 year and implemented during Summer 2025 with 5 students in the initial cohort. This model was developed so current paraeducators could continue to work at least 20 hours a week while taking courses delivered in an accessible format to meet their needs. Courses and practicum experiences were redesigned to be offered on consistent nights, weekends, and online to allow these teacher candidates to work in schools during the normal school day hours. In all, eleven (11) courses to date have gone through a university-supported re-design process to be offered in these new formats. An additional six (6) courses are still set to be redeveloped in the upcoming year. The changes made to coursework in this program is to prepare elementary education teachers as inclusive educators including coursework in special education, multilingual teaching and learning, and behavior intervention to support all students. We are currently recruiting for the 2nd cohort which will begin in Summer 2026.

A new Early Childhood Inclusive (ECI) Professional pathway was developed during the 2024-2025 year and implemented during Summer 2025 with 7 students in the initial pilot cohort. The model was developed in collaboration with metropolitan Omaha community organizations and statewide engagement by faculty to address the early childhood education workforce crisis by offering new flexible coursework options to full-time early childhood workers. This pathway is fully funded and has the support of an ECI Director who serves as a mentor to the students as they complete the program and a liaison to community partners seeking to support the credentialing of their staff. State requirements for early childhood inclusive endorsed candidates require 51 credit hours and prior to last year, UNO required 72 credit hours. Faculty members conducted a standards and course outcomes review and were able to reduce the overall credit hours for the ECI major down to 64 credit hours including the 12-credit hour clinical practice. Like Para to Teacher, this new pathway was also involved in a university-sponsored grant to redesign coursework. To date, four courses have been re-designed and an additional five courses have been approved for transfer articulation with two state community colleges.

Early Childhood Inclusive (ECI) Initial Certification at the graduate/advanced level: This pathway is focused on individuals working in the early childhood field who already have a bachelor's degree in another area to come back and get the early childhood inclusive certification. Similar to the undergraduate ECI certification pathway, the ECI initial certification requirements were reduced from 72 credit hours of graduate work to the required 51 credit hours. This will not only reduce time to certification, but cost to participants. In alignment with new state regulations, a major focus for the initial certification scope and sequence has been developing a new process to implement competency-based assessments for these working professionals and when appropriate, award credit for prior learning based on demonstrated competencies aligned with student learning outcomes from coursework. This will be the main area of innovation during the 2026 calendar year to operationalize for future post-baccalaureate candidates interested in ECI.

Special Education Apprenticeships – The special education apprenticeship program is a grant funded program that focuses on individuals working as apprentices for two years as they learn the role of a special education teacher. This allows them to gain additional skills and knowledge while on the job. These individuals will have over 2000 hours working prior to completing the

program. We currently have four apprentices completing their initial certification in special education in one district. This has been a partnership between Metropolitan Omaha Educational Consortium (MOEC) public schools and UNO.

#### **Expanded Funding for Pathways**

Teacher Scholar Academy- The Teacher Scholars Academy is a funded 4-year scholarship program for undergraduate students. Along with \$10,000 per year for tuition and fees, students participate as a cohort in numerous professional development activities and workshops co-led with local school districts, receive mentoring, volunteer in educational settings and serve as leaders on campus or in the community as a requirement of the scholarship, and have access to summer study abroad funding. Due to the generosity of local school district partnerships and funders, 35 TSA scholarships were awarded in the 2025-2026 academic year (approximately 15 more than our previous annual averages) and another 37 scholarships are available for the 2026-2027 academic year.

Initial Certification at the Advanced Level- Like ECI Advanced, we have seen a tremendous up-tick in interest from post-baccalaureate candidates interested in a career in Education, especially in Elementary and Secondary content areas. In 2025-2026, the total number of Accelerated Teacher Certification Program participants tripled from previous annual averages. A major factor in this increase was access to new funding streams from partner districts and private funder investing in these career changers. In 2025-2026, 68 accelerated teacher candidates were funded and there is capacity to fund a total of 90 teachers (42 returning students) in 2026-2027.

### **Literacy Summit**

The Literacy Summit for EPP faculty/staff/colleagues was held in September 2025 to provide an opportunity for education and collaboration on the Science of Reading. There was a planning committee that worked for six months to develop the professional development opportunities for all faculty/staff/colleagues preparing our preservice and inservice teachers. It was a wonderful day of collaborative discussion and there is a follow-up Literacy Collaboration Community of Practice (comprised of 16 faculty from inside the college and beyond) that is meeting monthly to continue the discussions of how to incorporate the Science of Reading into all coursework throughout our EPP (Aspects 3b and 3c) and offer professional development to faculty at various levels and content areas.

#### **Classroom and Collaborative Spaces**

We continue to examine the physical classroom and collaborative spaces available Flexible Classrooms for our programs. During the past year we have upgraded one classroom with desks that allow for flexible classroom arrangements and chairs with different movement. There were also upgrades and replacements to existing furniture in the collaborative spaces to ensure students have spaces on campus to collaborate.

#### **Data System**

Experiential Learning Cloud (ELC- formally Tevera) was implemented starting with the Fall 2025 semester for all initial teaching programs. Assessments include key assessments as well as all practicum evaluations. This relates to our work on Aspect 3e: Develops and maintains an effective assessment system. Our program had phased out Livetext and was utilizing Canvas Outcomes and Qualtrics. While Qualtrics may be used for some assessments, the majority of the data collection will be through ELC (Aspect 3g).