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Dissertation Proposal

EXAMINATION REPORT

Student’s Name: __________________________ Date of Oral Examination: __________________________

Degree: __________________________ Specialty: __________________________

As a member of this student's supervisory committee, the Department of Psychology is seeking your evaluation of this work. We would appreciate your candid rating on the scales below and any additional comments you might provide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not Acceptable</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Exceeds standard</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The research was well conceived and designed</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The data analysis plan was appropriate</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The plan for interpreting the results was appropriate</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dissertation was well written and followed an appropriate manuscript style</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This has the potential to be a publishable work and make a contribution to the field</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The student's presentation exhibited his/her knowledge and understanding of the field</td>
<td>1-----------------2------------------3------------------4------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ____________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________

Please return completed form to your area director.

Reviewer’s Name (print) __________________________ Reviewer’s Signature: __________________________
Guidance on Masters’ vs Doctors’ proficiency:

Master's students are expected to demonstrate basic-level competencies and to be exposed to higher-level concepts. For example, whereas a doctoral student may take several courses in statistical analysis, the master's student may have just one or two courses. Besides fewer credit hours, master’s education is typically delivered with a larger student-to-faculty ratio than is true of doctoral-level training (Lowe, 1993; Tett et al., 2013). This type of training is consistent with the generalization that master’s-level students will typically be consumers of knowledge, rather than producers of new knowledge. As such, they are engaged in applying this knowledge to issues in their discipline. Those involved in research usually do so under the guidance of a doctoral-level psychologist.

As a result of the breadth and depth differences in training, it is expected that compared to a master’s student, a doctoral student would have a higher level of proficiency in the areas of competence listed. In the future, it may be useful to differentiate various levels of proficiency for each competency.